



OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
COMMUNICATION & WORKS DIVISION
UPPER CHITRAL
PH & FAX 470014 Chitralcnwcu@gmail.com
No. 1143/6-M Dated the : 10-11-2025

**MINUTES OF THE 2nd PRE-BID MEETING HELD ON NOVEMBER 10, 2025 IN THE
COMMITTEE ROOM OF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER
PAKHTUNKHWA, C&W DEPARTMENT, PESHAWAR AT 02.00 PM**

As per Clause 1.4 of the Data Sheet, a Pre-Bid meeting was held in the Committee Room of Secretary To Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, C&W Department, Peshawar at 02.00 PM. List of participants attached.

The observations / queries raised and responded are as under

Pre-Bid Queries and Replies

F/S and Design of Mastuj Boroghul Pass Road (153) Km ADP No. 1295/250331 (2025-26)

S. No.	OBSERVATION	CLARIFICATION / RESPONSE
Comments from NESPAK		
1	Scope of Works (TORs Section): While the overall corridor length (153 KM) and origin-destination are clearly stated, the RFP does not specify the anticipated number, type, or indicative span lengths of major structures such as bridges and tunnels. Similarly, the number of intended rest areas, lay-bys, or service facilities (if any) are not defined. The same may please be provided to frame an effective proposal.	The intended scope comprises as follows: 1. Rest Areas: At an interval of appr: 40 Km 2. Bridges: Appr. 14 No. 3. Tunnels: 03 No. 4. Lay Bys: As per requirement 5. Bordar Terminal: 01 No. The mentioned scope is tentative and subject to final proposal by the consultant.
2	JV/Association Approval (Clause 1.10, LOI): We conceive that a duly signed and stamped JV agreement by authorized representatives of each firm should suffice, for the requirement under this sub-Clause. Kindly confirm our understanding	Agreed.
3	Substitution of Key Staff (Clause 6.5, LOI): The restriction on substitution of key staff may please be relaxed, as firms often face transfer postings or redeployments on ongoing projects. Flexibility in justified cases will help maintain continuity without compromising quality	Regretted. Remains intact as given in the RFP.
4	Key Staff CVs (Clause 1.7(iii), Data Sheet): The requirement that only the Lead Partner may propose key staff seems irrational. JV partners should also be allowed to nominate key staff, with the Procuring Entity reserving the right to restrict specific positions to the Lead firm, if deemed necessary. Furthermore, PEC's online system occasionally fails to link engineers with their organizations due to record update delays, biometric issues etc. In such cases, an Affidavit on Judicial Stamp Paper affirming that all proposed staff are permanent employees and will be available when required may kindly be accepted as valid proof.	Regretted. Remains intact as given in the RFP.



OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
COMMUNICATION & WORKS DIVISION
UPPER CHITRAL

PH & FAX 470014 Chitralcnwcu@gmail.com
No. 1143/6-M Dated the : 10-11-2025

Page-2

S. No.	OBSERVATION	CLARIFICATION / RESPONSE
5	Qualification Requirement of Key Staff (Clause 1.7(iii), Data Sheet): For most of the positions the stated minimum academic qualification is listed as "PhD/M.Sc./BSc". This creates ambiguity regarding the actual minimum threshold. We believe this may be a typographic oversight. Kindly clarify whether which degree is the minimum acceptable qualification	The minimum threshold will be B.Sc., however higher qualification will be given weightage as per RFP.
	The stated qualification for Tunnel Expert is set as "PhD/M.Sc./BSc in Civil Engineering (Structure)" appears to be misaligned with national /international norms. Tunnel design and assessment is a specialized field typically led by geologists, not structural engineers. We suggest revising the minimum qualification to "M.Sc. in Geology" to reflect global best practices and ensure appropriate expertise	Regretted. Remain intact as given in the RFP. Tunnel expert is required in addition to the Geotech Engineer, having specific experience in Tunnel structures.
	The TORs require detailed survey using advanced drone technology (DJI Matrice 300 RTK). However, no Staff Position for Chief Surveyor (Drones) is included in the Key Staff list. This omission may kindly be reviewed, and the relevant position added to ensure alignment with scope requirements	Regretted. Remains intact as given in the RFP.
	The RFP does not provide indicative man-month allocations for non-key staff positions. This information is essential for preparing a balanced financial proposal and aligning support staff deployment with project needs. The same may kindly be shared	Regretted. Remains intact as given in the RFP. The consultant is required to propose man months for non-key staff as per requirement and will be covered in the Direct Cost.
6	Experience (Clause 2.5(2), Data Sheet) Sub-Clause (ii): Kindly clarify how many projects are required to be completed and how many may be ongoing.	Only completed projects will be considered for evaluation.
	Sub-Clause (iii): 2 marks have been allocated per general nature project, with a maximum of 10 marks for 10 projects. Kindly confirm whether the total is 20 or the number of projects is capped at five.	10 projects with weightage of 01 mark per project totaling 10 marks.
	The template of Form TECH 1 & 2 refers to completed projects in the last 5 years, whereas the Data Sheet specifies 10 years. The same may please be clarified	Requirement as shown in the Bid Data Sheet will prevail.
7	Budget Estimate (Rs. 150 M, RFP): Keeping in view the extensive man-month requirements and the direct costs associated with field surveys, geotechnical investigations, and specialized studies, particularly for tunnel design and alignment, the allocated budget of Rs. 150 million appears insufficient. A revision may kindly be considered to ensure realistic costing and quality deliverables	Regretted. Remains intact as given in the RFP.



OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
COMMUNICATION & WORKS DIVISION

UPPER CHITRAL

PH & FAX 470014 Chitralcnwcu@gmail.com

No. 1143/6-M

Dated the : 10-11-2025

Page-3

S. No.	OBSERVATION	CLARIFICATION / RESPONSE
8	Extension of Proposal Submission Deadline: In view of the above observations and the potential need for amendments, we request a 7-day extension to the proposal submission deadline to ensure comprehensive and compliant submissions	Regretted. Remains intact as given in the RFP and NIT (Corrigendum) 18-11-2025.
Comments from ACE		
9	Clause 1.10 of LOI — JV/Association Approval by Registrar/Sub—Registrar As per above clause, Joint Venture or Association Agreements between enlisted consultants are required to be approved by the Registrar/Sub—Registrar of Companies.	Already addressed at S. No. 2 above.
10	Clause 2.5 (2)(i) of Data Sheet — Experience Requirement as Lead Firm The clause requires that Consultant must have completed two (2) similar projects (Feasibility Study and Detailed Design of Roads in hilly terrain of similar magnitude) as Lead Firm. Considering the nature of such large-scale assignments, it is quite difficult for individual firms to have completed such projects as Lead Firm only. We therefore request that: • The requirement be relaxed to include similar projects completed either as Lead Firm or JV Partner • The experience of all JV members collectively be considered for evaluation under this criterion	Already addressed at S. No. 6 above.
11	Clause 2.5 (2)(iii) of Data Sheet — Typographical Error in Marks Allocation The clause mentions 2 marks for each of 10 project, yet the total marks column specifies 10 marks. Kindly confirm the correct computation.	Already addressed at S. No. 6 above.
12	Forms 1&2 — Duration of Project Experience The Standard Forms 1&2 require details of completed, ongoing and in hand projects during the last 5 years, whereas the Data Sheet specifies 10 years. Please clarify which time frame should be followed.	Already addressed at S. No. 6 above.
	Also, kindly confirm whether completed, ongoing and in—hand projects are to be listed under Form-1 or Form-2.	Only Projects completed will be considered.
13	Mandatory Requirement — PEC and PCATP Registration As per the Data Sheet, it is mandatory for consultants to have PEC and PCATP registration. We understand that PEC registration is mandatory for Engineering consulting firms. The Firm registered with PEC cannot be registered with PCATP. Therefore, it is requested to please reconsider this requirement.	Regretted. Remains intact as given in the RFP.



OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
COMMUNICATION & WORKS DIVISION
UPPER CHITRAL
PH & FAX 470014 Chitralcnwcu@gmail.com
No. 1143/6-M Dated the : 10-11-2025

Page-4

S. No.	OBSERVATION	CLARIFICATION / RESPONSE
14	Mandatory Requirement — PEC Registration Codes As per the Data Sheet, consultants are required to have PEC registration with relevant services codes. Most consulting firms are already registered with PEC and possess valid Profile Codes, which are generally accepted by clients as a standard requirement. Since services codes are not commonly issued or maintained for consultancy categories, it is requested that this criterion be revised to accept PEC Profile Codes in place of PEC Services Codes.	Regretted. Remains intact as given in the RFP.
15	Clause 2.5 (4) of Data Sheet — Performance Certificates Requirement The clause requires submission of four (4) performance certificates of ongoing road infrastructure projects with C&W/PKHA. It is respectfully requested that: The requirement be revised to include completed projects instead of ongoing ones, as obtaining performance certificates for ongoing projects is generally difficult; and Performance certificates from other government departments like NHA or clients for similar scope of work also be considered acceptable.	Regretted. Remains intact as given in the RFP.
Comments from ACC		
16	Clause 1.10 of LOI—JV/Association Approval by Registrar/Sub—Registrar As per above clause, Joint Venture or Association Agreements between enlisted consultants are required to be approved by the Registrar/Sub—Registrar of Companies. We wish to submit that this is not a prevalent practice with other clients; generally, JV/Association Agreement on stamp paper signed by authorized representatives of participating firms are provided in Technical Proposal. Obtaining such formal registration is a lengthy process and may constrain the submission timeline. We, therefore, kindly request that this requirement be reconsidered and abolished.	Already addressed at S. No. 2 above.
17	Clause 2.5 (2)(iii) of Data Sheet— Typographical Error in Marks Allocation The clause mentions 2 marks for each of 10 project, yet the total marks column specifies 10 marks. Kindly confirm the correct computation	Already addressed at S. No. 6 above.
18	Forms 1&2 — Duration of Project Experience The Standard Forms 1&2 require details of completed, Ongoing and in—hand projects during the last 5 years, whereas the Data Sheet specifies 10 years. Please clarify which time frame should be followed.	Already addressed at S. No. 6 above.



OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
COMMUNICATION & WORKS DIVISION
UPPER CHITRAL

PH & FAX 470014 Chitralcnwcu@gmail.com
No. 1143/6-M Dated the : 10-11-2025

Page-5

S. No.	OBSERVATION	CLARIFICATION / RESPONSE
19	Clause 2.5 (4) of Data Sheet— Performance Certificates Requirement The clause requires submission of four (4) performance certificates of on—going road infrastructure projects with C&W/PKHA. It is respectfully requested that: • The requirement be revised to include completed projects instead of on—going ones, as obtaining performance certificates for on—going projects is generally difficult; and • Performance certificates from other government departments or clients for similar scope of work also be considered acceptable.	Regretted. Remains intact as given in the RFP.
Comments from Pavron		
20	Instruction to bidders Sub Clause 1.10: Joint venture/Associations (Page#7 & 8) Since registration of Joint Venture with registrar of companies is not required in view of PEC guidelines for consultancy contracts, it is requested that such requirement in the instance procurement may be relaxed in PEC guidelines conformity in the best interest of project.	Already addressed at S. No. 2 above.
21	Form 5: Format of CV for proposed key staff (Page#28) Since membership of PEC is mandatory for all staff, it is requested to clarify regarding the mandatory membership status of LARP Expert, Social Safe Gayrd Expert, Gender Specialist, Community Liaison Officer, Architect and Economic Expert.	For only Engineers and Architects, the registration with relevant forum is mandatory.
22	Data Sheet LOI Clause 1.7 (iii) (Page # 16) Regarding Clause 1.7 (iii) of the Bid Data Sheet stating that "Proposed key staff shall be permanent employees," the condition may kindly be relaxed to allow the inclusion of qualified professionals who are not permanent employees but meet the required experience and expertise criteria, ensuring flexibility in staff deployment as per project requirements	Regretted. Remains intact as given in the RFP.
23	Data Sheet LOI Clause 2.5 (Page # 19) Evaluation/Marking Criteria S. No. 1 The requirement to attach the latest salary slip with the Cv may please be relaxed, as key experts may be engaged on a project basis.	Regretted. Remains intact as given in the RFP.
24	Data Sheet LOI Clause 2.5 (Page # 20) The requirement for Special Service Codes mentioned in the RFP at Serial No. 7, please be relaxed to allow broader participation from qualified firms with relevant experience.	Regretted. Remains intact as given in the RFP.

F/S and Design of Bridge Awi Miragram to Bragwal 1425/251465 (2025-26)

S. No.	OBSERVATION	CLARIFICATION / RESPONSE																																													
Comments from ACE																																															
1	Clause 1.10 of LOI — JV/Association Approval by Registrar/Sub—Registrar As per above clause, Joint Venture or Association Agreements between enlisted consultants are required to be approved by the Registrar/Sub—Registrar of Companies.	Agreed.																																													
2	The clause requires that Consultant must have completed ten (10) similar projects (involving Feasibility Study and Detailed Design of Bridges of Same magnitude) as Lead Firm. Considering the nature of such large—scale assignments, it is quite difficult for individual firms to have completed such projects as Lead Firm only. We therefore request that: • The requirement be relaxed to include similar projects completed either as Lead Firm or JV Partner • The experience of all JV members collectively be considered for evaluation under this criterion	Regretted. Remains intact as given in the RFP.																																													
3	Clauses 1.7(iv) and 2.5(1) of Data Sheet — Design Staff and Man—Months There is a difference in the design staff and positions mentioned in Clause 1.7(iv) and Clause 2.5(1) of the Data Sheet. Kindly clarify which set of experts (and corresponding man—month allocations) are to be followed for proposal preparation	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>No</th><th>Experts</th><th>Points</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>1.</td><td>Team Leader</td><td>6</td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td>Structure Engineer</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr><td>3.</td><td>Geotechnical Engineer</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr><td>4.</td><td>Pavement Design Engineer</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr><td>5.</td><td>Environmental Expert</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>6.</td><td>Social Safe Guard Expert</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>7.</td><td>Contract Specialist</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>8.</td><td>Hydrologist</td><td>3</td></tr> <tr><td>9.</td><td>Quantity Surveyor</td><td>2</td></tr> <tr><td>10.</td><td>Sr. Surveyor</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>11.</td><td>Assistant Surveyor</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>12.</td><td>CAD Operator</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>13.</td><td>Survey Helper</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td colspan="2">Total</td><td>30</td></tr> </tbody> </table> The above corrections are hereby made in Clause 2.5(1) of the Data Sheet.	No	Experts	Points	1.	Team Leader	6	2.	Structure Engineer	4	3.	Geotechnical Engineer	4	4.	Pavement Design Engineer	4	5.	Environmental Expert	1	6.	Social Safe Guard Expert	1	7.	Contract Specialist	1	8.	Hydrologist	3	9.	Quantity Surveyor	2	10.	Sr. Surveyor	1	11.	Assistant Surveyor	1	12.	CAD Operator	1	13.	Survey Helper	1	Total		30
No	Experts	Points																																													
1.	Team Leader	6																																													
2.	Structure Engineer	4																																													
3.	Geotechnical Engineer	4																																													
4.	Pavement Design Engineer	4																																													
5.	Environmental Expert	1																																													
6.	Social Safe Guard Expert	1																																													
7.	Contract Specialist	1																																													
8.	Hydrologist	3																																													
9.	Quantity Surveyor	2																																													
10.	Sr. Surveyor	1																																													
11.	Assistant Surveyor	1																																													
12.	CAD Operator	1																																													
13.	Survey Helper	1																																													
Total		30																																													





OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
COMMUNICATION & WORKS DIVISION
UPPER CHITRAL

PH & FAX 470014 Chitralcnwcu@gmail.com

No. 1143/6-M

Dated the : 10-11-2025

Page-7

S. No.	OBSERVATION	CLARIFICATION / RESPONSE
4	Forms 1&2 — Duration of Project Experience The Standard Forms 1&2 require details of completed, ongoing and in hand projects during the last 5 years, whereas the Data Sheet specifies 10 years. Please clarify which time frame should be followed. Also, kindly confirm whether completed, ongoing and in—hand projects are to be listed under Form-1 or Form-2.	Requirement as shown in the Bid Data Sheet will prevail. Only Projects completed will be considered.
5	Mandatory Requirement — PEC Registration Codes As per the Data Sheet, consultants are required to have PEC registration with relevant services codes. Most consulting firms are already registered with PEC and possess valid Profile Codes, which are generally accepted by clients as a standard requirement. Since services codes are not commonly issued or maintained for consultancy categories, it is requested that this criterion be revised to accept PEC Profile Codes in place of PEC Services Codes.	Regretted. Remains intact as given in the RFP.
6	Clause 2.5(4) of Data Sheet — Performance Certificates Requirement The clause requires submission of two (2) performance certificates from C&W Department. We respectfully request that: • Performance certificates from other government departments or clients with a similar scope of work also be accepted.	Regretted. Remains intact as given in the RFP.
7	Appendix—II, Financial Proposal Form-5 — Direct (Non—Salary) Cost The format provided under Form-5 (Direct Non—Salary Cost) is usually used for construction supervision assignments. Kindly confirm whether this form is to be modified for feasibility and design projects, or if a revised format will be issued.	Regretted. Remains intact as given in the RFP.


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Detail List of Participants/Consultant Rep Attended Online Meeting Dated 10/11/2025

S#	Name	Designation	Firm Name	Contact #	Email ID	Remarks
1	Syed Tawab Ali Shah	Resident Representative	Associated Consulting Engineers Pvt Ltd	92 333 9026 264	tawabalishah4@gmail.com	Connected from Peshawar Office
2	M.Abbas Ejaz	Chief Buisness Development	Associated Consulting Engineers Pvt Ltd	92 300 4410 644	ace.transportationdiv@gmail.com	Connected from Lahore Office
3	Ahmer Khan	Document Specialist	Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants Pvt Ltd Islamabad	92 333 3022 488	primengg@gmail.com contact.ahmer@yahoo.com	Connected from Islamabad
4	M.Azam khan	Coordinator	MAC Engineering Services Peshawar	92 345 9089 291	macespak@gmail.com	Connected from Peshawar on Line
5	Asif Ali	Director Technical	SPECTRA Engineering Solution Pvt Ltd Peshawar	92 307 0927 462	info@spectra.net.pk	Connected from Peshawar on Line
6	Imran Khan Gandapur	General Manager	NESPAK Pvt Limited	92 345 9100051	nespak@gmail.com	Physical at Peshawar

